# Radio detection of extensive air showers:

### The CODALEMA experience

COsmic ray Detection Array with Logarithmic ElectroMagnetic Antennas

The CODALEMA collaboration:

3 french institutes - 8 laboratories

SUBATECH Nantes (IN2P3, 2002)

Obs. de Paris-Meudon (INSU, 2002) - Station de Nançay (INSU, 2002)

LAL Orsay (IN2P3, 2004) - ESEO Angers (2004)

LPSC Grenoble (IN2P3, 2005)

LAOB Besançon (INSU, 2006) - LPCE Orléans (INSU, 2006)


+ support of the Lab. of AUGER-France for the tests @ AUGER-South




#### UHECR studies

# Problematic of ORIGINE & NATURE of the cosmic rays

Radio-detection:
longitudinal
development,
macroscopic
observables, long
range detection,
inclined showers,
cheap, high duty
cycle...





Fluorescence, surface particles detectors





#### Radiodetection of cosmic rays

1962: Theoretical prediction - Askar'yan effect

1964-65: First experiment - T.C. Weekes

Mid 70's: Abandoned (difficulties of interpretation and

detection + success of other techniques)

End 90's: Re-investigated in dense media (ice, salt) ⇒ neutrinos

In 1999: Proof of principle on accelerator (sand, D. Saltzberg,)

In 2000: Experience on CASA-MIA (K.Green et al., 2003, N.I.M. A, 498)

In 2002 LOPES Experience on KASCADE CODALEMA Experience of SUBATECH

In 2005: H. Falcke et al., Nature, May 19, 2005

D. Ardouin et al. NIM A555 2005 & astro-ph/0504297

In 2006: Prospectives on AUGER-

#### Origin of the electric field

Recombination of positrons in the atmosphere

Negative charge excess (~10% @ 1020eV): monopolar emission

+ Cerenkov emission (on axis)

Separation of charges due to the geomagnetic field

**Dipolar emission** 


Creation of charges along the shower path

Dipolar current

Deflection of charges in the geomagnetic field

Synchrotron emission

#### A 10 EeV Extensive Air Shower (EAS)



100 billion particles at sea level


photons, electrons (99%), muons (1%)


Ground Array stations



#### The signal to detect

- To get the shower direction
- To find the energy of the incident particle
- To know its nature







Trigger capabilities

(1 ant. + narrow band)

2003

2002

Shower direction: triangulation

(several ant. + time tagging)

2005

Field topology: extent & core location

(several ant. + field distribution on the ground)

2006

charge  $\propto$  electric field

(amplitude of the signal)

Nature: longitudinal profile, X<sub>max</sub>

(shape of the signal)

Goutelas 07/06/07

### Radiodetection capabilities with

Trigger capabilities

(1 ant. + narrow band)

Shower direction: triangulation 2003 (several ant. + time tagging)

2005

• Field topology: extent & core location (several ant. + field distribution on the ground)

• Primary particle energy : ∞ total charge ∝ electric field (amplitude of the signal)

200

• Nature: longitudinal profile Xmo (shabeachthe signal)


2002

#### Radio triggered system: 2003 – 2004


### DAM: (Decametric Array) of the Radio Observatory of Nançay

- Spiral log-periodic ant., 1(10)-120 MHz (6dB), 90° lobe, circular polarization
- One trigger antenna filtered in 33–65 MHz
   the 5 other full band
- Waveform 8 bits, 500 MS/s, 10 μs






### The CODALEMA Technique for Transient Detection



1 Trigger Antenna Voltage threshold on a devoted filtered antenna (33-65 MHz)

1 distant antenna (1 km) @ 10-100 MHz + 4 broad band antennas (1-100 MHz)

With Flash ADC 8bits - 500 MS/s - 10μs



After 33-65 MHz off-line numerical filtering



2003

Shower direction: triangulation


(several ant. + time tagging)

2005 2006  Field topology: extent & core location (several ant. + field distribution on the ground)

charge ∝ electric field (amplitude of the signal)

> • Nature: longitudinal profile, X (shabearofathe signal)

#### Triangulation




Principle of the triangulation

- At least 3 tagged antennas
- Using the time difference between the peaks
- On a plane wavefront hypothesis



## Triangulation performances (1) (using Solar bursts)




- Correlated with day/night alternation (but not human activity)
- Ionospheric variations?
- Typical feature




### Triangulation performances

(using Solar bursts


DAM sun survey 15/01/05 & 02/06/06






solar flare in active region AR10720 on 2005 Jan. 15










### Triangulation performances (3) (using Solar bursts)


Reconstructed directions versus sun ephemerids



Distribution of the residuals

Direction accuracy  $\sigma = 0.74^{\circ}$ 







### Triangulation performances (4)

Test on the trajectory of a flying object

#### Field sensitivity


Amplitude rises when distance between source and antenna decreases







#### Signal sensitivity




Distributions of the ground floor signal in the 40-70 MHz band after cross calibration of the antennas gains

Time evolution of the mean ground floor compared to

the galatic background + Cas.A simulated contributions seen through 45° lobe antennas





### Radiodetection capabilities with CODALEMA

Trigger capabilities

1 ant. + narrow band)

2003

 Shower direction: triangulation (several ant. + time tagging)

2005

• Field topology: extent & core location (several ant. + field distribution on the ground)

2006

200

• Nature: longitudinal profile Xmo (shabearofathe signal)


#### Small antenna array: expected signal @ 1017 eV

Vertical shower @ small impact parameter

Following H. R. Allan (1971)


Signal simulation:

**e**<sub>pk</sub>~150 μV/m / FWHM duration ~ 8 ns




- Narrow-band antennas (improved sensitivity)
- Small antenna array

2004-2005 setting @ Nançay



chard DALLIER
For the CODALEMA collaboration



#### Scintillators events

### Reconstruction of the particle pancake arrival direction


Active area: 7000 m<sup>2</sup>

Counting rate: 0.7 evt/min

Zenital limit :  $0^{\circ} < \theta < 60^{\circ}$ No azimutal limit

Estimated acceptance: 16000 m<sup>2</sup>.sr

⇒ Trigger energy threshold : 1.10<sup>15</sup> eV





#### Time distribution for antenna events

#### Coincidence rate: 1 Antenna + Trigger = 1 event / 1 h

3 Antennas + Trigger = 1 event / 2 h (~200 evts)

Sharp peak (< 100ns)


**= EAS** candidates

Coincidence rate: 1 event / Day

**Flat distribution** 

= Fortuitous

Using scintillators acceptance ⇒ Energy threshold ~ 5.10<sup>16</sup> eV







#### Coincidence characteristics

All events in a 2 µs window around the particle trigger

**Selection Procedures** 

#### **EAS** candidates in the peak






Noise events (in & outside the peak)

(Anthropic + solar + storms +....)
(generally coming from the horizon)

LLIER collaboration



#### Correlation of Arrival directions



### Reconstruction of EAS arrival direction is confirmed via Radio-Detection




20

#### Electric Field topologies


Variable antenna multiplicity (limited array) Field amplitudes from 250 μV/m to 1.2 mV/m in 40 - 70 MHz

The entire shower development is seen by every antenna




**Far Transient** 

**Ground Noise** 



Free of particle ground density fluctuations

Field topology is a decisive criterion of selection in stand alone mode



Distance from shower center [m]

Falcke et al., Nature, May 2005


200 Distance from shower axis (m) **Field Measurements** 


~ 600 m @ ~ 5.10<sup>16</sup> eV



#### Extraction of the Parameters

If the maximum of the electric field is measured on 1 of the sampling axis:





Core positions (X0,Y0)

**Extent of the field** 

FWHM extent of the field


~ 250 m @ ~ 5.10¹6 eV



#### Detection of horizontal EAS




#### Radio / Trigger Acceptance




### Radio-detection could be naturally adapted to the detection of atmospheric neutrinos?





Goutelas 07/06/07



### Radiodetection capabilities with CODALEMA

- Trigger capabilities
  - 1 ant. + narrow band)
- 2003
- Shower direction: triangulation (several ant. + time tagging)
- 2005
- Field topology: extent & core location (several ant. + field distribution on the ground)



# The CODALEMA active dipole

Nature : longitudinal profile, X management of the state of the s

(shabearoffatthe signal)


### Signal simulation @ 10<sup>20</sup> eV


### Vertical shower (charge excess only)


amplitude ⇒ energy duration ⇒ impact parameter shape ⇒ primary nature




**SENSITIVE** to










For the CODALEMA collaboration

### Constraint on the signal shape at low frequencies

Enlarge Frequency Band: from filtered Log-Periodic(24-82 MHz)


⇒ Broad Band Dipoles (0.2-100 MHz)



Required @ Nançay for 10<sup>17</sup> ⇒ 10<sup>18</sup> eV and also for Auger tests @ 10<sup>20</sup> eV

#### Waveform Recovery at large Band

### **Extraction via Linear Predictive Coeficients**(Adaptative optimal filtering)




#### + Wavelet analysis for time tagging




A dedicated LNA(ASIC)


The CODALEMA short active dipole (1)





Normalised gain in E and H plane versus the Elevation angle






Aluminium dipole antenna

Preamplifier ASIC

- + ADC MATACQ (12 bits, up to 1 GS/s, 2500 Samples)
- + Full Bandwidth (0-250 MHz)




# Short active Dipole (2)

Good astronomical performances on a wide band

"Sees" the sky background

(Interferometry Dipole-DAM on Casiopea A)







#### Braodband dipoles: schedule @ Nançay (2006-2008)

#### Evt by evt energy calibration < 10<sup>18</sup> eV before end of 2008





Setup of a 1 km<sup>2</sup> engineering array for a future giant radio-detector




#### **Autonomous dipoles:**

- Trigger,
- Data taking (ADC MATACQ
   12 bits, Full Bandwidth 0-250
   MHz)
- Time tagging
- Data transmission,
- Power supply






#### Single Event Analysis (1): LDF / field profiles










### Dipoles: single event analysis (2)



#### Single Event Analysis (3







# Radiodetection capabilities with CODALEMA

- Trigger capabilities
  - 1 ant. + narrow band
- Shower direction: trian 2003 (several ant. + time tagging
  - 2005
    2006
- Field topology: extent & core location (several ant. + field distribution on the ground)



- Primary particle energy : ∝ total charge ∝ electric field
- (amplitude of the signal)
  - Nature : longitudinal profile, X<sub>max</sub>

For the COCALEMA collaboration

## Theory: what approach

## Geosynchrotron effect (emission of real photons)

- Analytical models
- (T. Huege, T. Gousset)
- Numerical simulations: adaptation of Corsika & Aires
- ⇒ Transverse (EW/NS) polarization


- Effect of a variation of the potential due to charge excess (virtual photons)
- Analytical model (N. Meyer)
  - ⇒ "Longitudinal" polarization




Measurements with two polarization states can help to determine the main effect (recently implemented on CODALEMA)



## T. Huege: Frequency spectra @ 10<sup>17</sup> eV






- For vertical showers
- 10 MHz: very coherent
- 55 MHz: coherence only up to ~ 300 m

- Favourable for inclined showers
- Approx. Exponential scaling



## T. Huege: Polarization @ 10<sup>17</sup> eV, 10 MHz


## Total field strength emission pattern and ratio of east-west to north-south linear polarisation




Most power in polarization direction ⊥ to Bfield and shower axes

## Tentative of energy estimation (1

#### (via the global features of the EAS)



γ: geomagnetic angle with the shower



GAUSS FLUX (charge excess...) ??

$$=> E_{Primary} \sim Q / \epsilon = \int E (d) . dS = E_0 . d_0^2$$
 ??

RADIATED ENERGY (geosynchrotron,...Poynting) ??


$$\Rightarrow$$
 E<sub>primary</sub>  $\sim \int P \cdot dS = E_0^2 \cdot d_0^2 / \sin^2(\gamma)$  ??


## Tentative of energy estimation (2)

#### (very preliminary)

 $E_0 \cdot d_0^2$  spectrum (a.u.)

 $E_0^2$  .  $d_0^2$  /  $\sin^2(\gamma)$  spectrum (a.u.)







Some questions..

Résultats « confidentiels »... Diapo supprimée.



## RAuger: Radio @ Auger

Radio tests on the South Auger site, Malargüe, Argentina



## RAuger: Radio @ Auger

# To mimic the problematics of a large array of autonomous antennas

To deliver useful information on radio signal @ 10<sup>18</sup> eV

Auger is the only place in the world to do that



## Instrumental objectives

Tests of single detector performances

Antennas, Front End electronics, noise level, trigger rate, trigger level, dead time, time tagging

Tests of autonomy

Power, data transmission

Tests of array operation

Coincidences between antennas, multi-trigger building, Radio Frequency Interference studies (local noise sources, noise transient counting rate)



## Radio R&D objectives

Full band waveform @ > 10<sup>18</sup> eV

Short & long distance observation, pulse shape recovery

Detection in coincidence with Auger

Shower direction and datation with radio signals, shower parameters from Auger

Electric Field Distribution = f (distance to core)

EF strength on ground at Auger site

To give simulation and extension inputs

Array pitch determination, link with CODALEMA and LOPES @ 10<sup>17</sup> eV


#### Means

### 3 autonomous, self triggered antennas


- Independent power
- Independent trigger
- Digitization system
- Time tagging system
- Data transmission (WiFi)
- Central acquisition system



## Sky background @ Auger








Pierre Auger Observatory




Central Laser Facility

Location: CLF





**Richard DALLIER** For the CODALEMA collaboration














## RAuger status

- Installed in December 2006
- Problems of noise and electromagnetic compatibility (shielding) ⇒ didn't work perfectly but greatly improved our knowledge on autonomous radio detection
- Problems fixed up in May 2007 ⇒ takes data, until end of the year





## Trigger rate on 1 station





#### Zoology of events: 1- Fast "electronics" transients







#### 3- (quite certainly) Far storm events

